STATE OF WISCONSIN, CIRCUIT COUR	Т,	COUNTY	For Official Use
IN THE INTEREST OF		Consent Decree (Out-of-home Placement Only)	
Name		Indian Child Welfare Act	
Date of Birth		Case No.	
The parties stipulate and agree that the cousupervision, consistent with these terms an	•	a consent decree, placing the child/juv	enile under
1. The case shall be held open for [Chapter 48, up to six mon			
2. Placement shall be in			
3. No referrals to intake and/or violation	ons of federa	I, state, or local laws.	
Payment terms		yable toe to the victim (under age 14, 40 hour limit)	
5. Community service/supervised wor		nder age 14, 40 hour limit)	
6. Counseling:			
7. Other: (include payment for services under §§48.36, 938.36, and 938.361)			
The court may extend this decree f motion. Unless an objection is made.		nal 6 months (1 year if a youth village placeme g will be held.	ent) upon notice and
SIGNED BY	DATE	SIGNED BY	DATE
Child/Juvenile		Child's/Juvenile's Attorney/GAL	
Mother			
Father			
Indian Custodian			
Prosecuting Attorney		Social Worker	1

Notice: During the period of this consent decree, the child/juvenile may object to its continuation and the hearing under which the child/juvenile was placed on supervision may be continued as if the consent decree had never been entered.

O 1	D	10	11	Placement	O I\	(10) (1 ( )

Page 2 of 3

0	NI-	
Case	ואט	

## THE COURT FINDS:

- 1. The victim/family has been given an opportunity to make a statement.
- 2. If restitution/repairs are ordered, the child/juvenile alone is financially able to pay or the custodial parent is financially able to pay.
- 3. If the child/juvenile is providing services for the victim, the child/juvenile is physically able to perform services for the victim (under age 14, 40 hour limit) and the victim agrees to accept such services.
- 4. The consent decree is in the child's/juvenile's best interest and is approved.

<ol><li>The child/juvenile is subject to the Indian Child Welfare</li></ol>
---

5.	The	child/juvenile is subject to the Indian Child Welfare Act.
6.	a.	child/juvenile is placed out of the home.  Continued custody of the child/juvenile by the parent or Indian custodian  is  is not likely to result in serious emotional or physical damage to the child/juvenile, based on the testimony of one or more qualified expert witnesses. (This finding is not required if made at a previous hearing.)  Specify:
	b.	Active efforts were (This finding is not required if made at a previous hearing.)  made to provide remedial services and rehabilitation programs designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family and those efforts have proved unsuccessful.   Specify:  not made to provide remedial services and rehabilitation programs designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family.
	C.	Placement has been made in accordance with the order of preference set forth in the Indian Child Welfare Act.  OR
		☐ There is good cause to depart from the order of placement preference in the Indian Child Welfare Act ☐ Specify:
	d.	Placement in the home at this time is is not contrary to the child's/juvenile's welfare.
	e.	Reasonable efforts to prevent removal were (Complete one of the following.)  made by the department or agency responsible for providing services.
		made by the department or agency responsible for providing services, although an emergency situation resulted in immediate removal of the child/juvenile from the home.
		not required under §§48.355(2d) and 938.355(2d).
		required, but the department or agency responsible for providing services failed to make reasonable efforts.
	f.	Reasonable efforts to place the child/juvenile in a placement that enables the sibling group to remain together were
		<ul> <li>made.</li> <li>not required because the child/juvenile does not have siblings in out-of-home care.</li> <li>not required because it would be contrary to the safety or well being of the child/juvenile or any of the siblings.</li> </ul>

Consent Decree (Out of Home Placement Only) (ICWA) Page 3	of 3 Case No	
7. Permanency plan		
was not filed.		
was filed and reasonable efforts to achieve th	e goal(s) of the permanency plan, including through an out-	
	ete one of the following only if a permanency plan was filed.)	
made by the department or agency res	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	policials is: promaning services.	
not made by the department or agency	responsible for providing services.	
8. As to the department or agency recommendation:		
□a. The placement location recommended by t	ne department or agency is adopted.	
OR		
□b. After giving bona fide consideration to the r	ecommendations of the department or agency and all	
parties, the placement location recommend	led is not adopted.	
THE COURT ORDERS:		
The child/juvenile is placed at		
and into the placement and care responsibility of		
county departme	nt, which has primary responsibility for providing services.	
Department of Children and Families	which has primary responsibility for providing services.	
☐ Bureau of Milwaukee Child Welfare,	which has primary responsibility for providing services.	
	re and the child/juvenile is not placed with those siblings.	
	onable efforts to provide frequent visitation or other ongoing	
interaction between the child/juvenile and a	ıy siblings.	
The department or agency is not required to	provide for frequent visitation or other ongoing interaction	
because it would be contrary to the safety o	r well being of the child/juvenile or any siblings.	
3. The parties must comply with all terms and con	ditions of the consent decree.	
4. Failure to comply with this consent decree may	result in reinstatement of these proceedings. If not	
reinstated, the original petition is dismissed at the end of the appropriate period without further order of the		
court.		
Evaluation Date:	BY THE COURT:	
Expiration Date:	BI THE COOKT.	
	Circuit Court Judge/Circuit Court Commissioner	
DISTRIBUTION:	Circuit Court Juage/Circuit Court Commissioner	
<ol> <li>Original - Court</li> <li>All Signers</li> </ol>	N. Birita	
2. All Signers Name Printed or Typed 3. Service Providers		
4. Tribe		
Date		